

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7

* * *

8 BRANDEN J. HERMANSEN,

Case No. 3:17-cv-00135-MMD-VPC

9 Petitioner,

ORDER

10 v.

11 RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,

12 Respondents.

13 Following upon the entry of appearance (ECF No. 13) by the Federal Public
14 Defender, it is ordered that the Federal Public Defender, through Megan C. Hoffman,
15 Esq., is appointed as counsel for petitioner pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B).
16 Counsel will represent petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including
17 any appeals or *certiorari* proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.

18 It is further ordered that petitioner will have until up to and including one hundred
19 twenty (120) days from entry of this order within which to file an amended petition and/or
20 seek other appropriate relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension thereof
21 signifies or will signify any implied finding as to the expiration of the federal limitation
22 period and/or of a basis for tolling during the time period established. Petitioner at all times
23 remains responsible for calculating the running of the federal limitation period and timely
24 asserting claims, without regard to any deadlines established or extensions granted
25 herein. That is, by setting a deadline to amend the petition and/or by granting any
26 extension thereof, the Court makes no finding or representation that the petition, any
27 amendments thereto, and/or any claims contained therein are not subject to dismissal as
28 untimely. See *Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

1 It is further ordered that respondents must file a response to the amended petition,
2 including potentially by motion to dismiss, within sixty (60) days of service of an amended
3 petition and that petitioner may file a reply within thirty (30) days of service of an answer.
4 The response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed
5 in lieu of a pleading, will be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

6 It further is ordered that any procedural defenses raised by respondents to the
7 counseled amended petition must be raised together in a single consolidated motion to
8 dismiss. In other words, the Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses
9 raised herein either in *seriatum* fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or
10 embedded in the answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will
11 be subject to potential waiver. Respondents will not file a response in this case that
12 consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except
13 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If
14 respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall
15 do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically
16 direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett*
17 *v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses,
18 including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural
19 defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.


20 It is further ordered that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents must
21 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court
22 record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

23 It is further ordered that any state court record and related exhibits filed herein by
24 either petitioner or respondents must be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying
25 the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further must be identified
26 by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. If the exhibits filed will span
27 more than one ECF Number in the record, the first document under each successive ECF
28 Number must be either another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other

1 document serving as a filler, so that each exhibit under the ECF Number thereafter will
2 be listed under an attachment number (*i.e.*, Attachment 1, 2, etc.).

3 It is further ordered that the hard copy of any exhibits filed by either counsel must
4 be delivered — for this case — to the Reno Clerk's Office.

5 DATED THIS 27th day of November 2017.

6
7 
8 MIRANDA M. DU
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28